

Performance <whatever>

Luboš Luňák
<l.lunak@suse.cz>

aKademy 2006

KDE performance

Is not that good

Windows 95 is so much faster

And don't let me get started on Jet Pac

Is not that bad either

We are not noticeably worse than comparable competition

In fact, we are even often better

There's incomparable competition

So, no need to be very nervous

But we can still improve

It's bad because

(Some) libraries we use are bad

Dynamic linker (shared libraries) is bad

I/O performance is bad

Really stupid mistakes are bad

Many small things add up

Nice things are sometimes not fast

Unneeded things are done

Initial resource usage is large because our framework is large (libraries)

What to do

Find the problem

Analyze the problem

Do NOT guess

Measure

Verify assumptions

Speed: cachegrind, sysprof

Memory: exmap, xrestop, kmtrace

Fix the problem

On-demand initialization #1

```
Foo::Foo()  
{ ... object = new Object; ... }
```

```
Foo::~~Foo()  
{ ... delete object; }
```

```
void Foo::foo()  
{ ... object->use(); ... }
```

On-demand initialization #2

```
Foo::Foo()  
{ ... _object = NULL; ... }
```

```
Foo::~~Foo()  
{ ... delete _object; }
```

```
void Foo::foo()  
{ ... object()->use(); ... }
```

```
Object* Foo::object()  
{  
    if( _object == NULL )  
        _object = new Object;  
    return _object;  
}
```

Caching

Don't do the same thing over and over

Save the result somewhere

Check that the input hasn't changed

E.g. ksycoca

We do many things during startup of every KDE application

KConfig, QSettings are rather inefficient

Some things are repeated by every application

I/O performance #1

Time for this year's quiz

1) loading one 50M file

2) loading 1000 x 5k files (=5M)

Which is faster?

faster is 1)

it's the same

faster is 2)

Disk seeks are VERY slow (~10ms)

I/O performance #2

Try to avoid many small files

Create a single cache file at build time

Create a single cache file at runtime

 Don't forget watching for changes

On-demand loading

Kernel could(?) help

Cheat!

Seriously :)

Show progress

Provide early feedback

Optimize the common case

Optimize big bottlenecks

Many small things add up

We are large and complex

"Hundred times nothing tormented the donkey to death"

(Slovak proverb)

Time goes on

This KDE will never run on 16MB RAM

We need to compare with comparable competition

We have to live with that

There's a limit that cannot reasonably be reached without significantly reducing features

Would that be still KDE?